GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

Public Services – Home Department – Disciplinary proceedings initiated against Sri RVSN Murthy, (f),DSP,ACB, now ACP, Dwaraka Sub-Division, Visakhapatnam City on the allegations of misconduct and slack supervision – Inquiry conducted by the Commissioner of Inquiries - Charges not proved – Further Action Dropped – Orders – Issued.

HOME (SC.A) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Rt.No.927,

Dated:28th August,2023
Read the following:-

1. From the DG, ACB, AP, Vijayawada , Lr.Rc.No.34/RCO-NPK/2015, Dt.01.06.2018.
2. Govt. Memo No.19/SC.A/A2/2019-1, Home (SC.A) Dept.,dt:31.05.2019.
3. Explanation of Sri Rachakonda Venkata Satya Narayana Murthy, (f) DSP, ACB, Ongole, Prakasam District, now ACP, Dwaraka Sub-Division, Visakhapatnam. Dt.25.06.2020.
4. From the DGP, AP, Mangalagiri, Rc.No.113/02/2019,dated:11.11.2020.
5. G.O.Rt.No. 615, Home(SC.A) Department, dt. 08.07.2021.
6. From the Member, Commissionerate of Inquiries, G.A.(COI-JS) Dept., D.O.Lr. No.45/COI.JS/2021, dt.26.10.2022.
7. From the Director General of Police, AP, Mangalagiri, Lr.Rc.No.113/02/ 2019, dt.17.07.2023.

(****)

ORDER:-

Based on the recommendations of the Director General, ACB, AP, Vijayawada in the reference 1st read above, Government have issued orders in the reference 2nd read above to Initiate the departmental action against Sri R.V.S.N.Murthy, formerly DSP, ACB, Ongole for violation of Rule 3(1) and 3(2) of APCS(Conduct) Rules,1964.. Accordingly, the Director General of Police, AP was framed the following charge on the delinquent officer:-

> "failed to exercise proper supervision over the acts of private driver to the hire vehicle engaged by ACB, Sri Mannam Sukumar, Private driver on 13.01.2015, by pretending himself as an ACB constable and by his deceitful words threatened the complainant, Sri Tammisetty Venkata Swamy, S/o Pedanna, 52 years, Technical Asst., Sericulture Service Center, Central silk Board Organization, Vijayawada, who is Borther-in-Law of Accused Officer, Sri O.Ellamandaraju, in ACB case Cr.No.1/RCT-OGL/2015 and collected amount of Rs.1,50,000/- from the complainant".

2. In the reference 3rd read above, the Charged Officer Sri Rachakonda Venkata Satya Narayana Murthy, (f) DSP, ACB, Ongole, Prakasam District now ACP, Dwaraka Division, Visakhapatnam City has submitted his written statement of defence requesting to exonerate him from the charges framed against him. In the reference 4th read above, while forwarding the explanation of the individual, the DGP, AP, Mangalagiri has requested to take further action for entrusting the case to the Commissioner of Inquiries for conducting regular enquiry into the charges framed against Sri Rachakonda Venkata Satya Narayana Murthy,(f) DSP, ACB, Ongole, Prakasam District now ACP, Dwaraka Sub-Division, Visakhapatnam City.

3. In the reference 5th read above, Government have appointed Sri J.Satyanarayana, Member, Commissioner of Inquiries as Inquiring Authority to inquire into the allegations leveled against the above Charged Officer.

(P.T.O.)

4. In the reference 6th read above, the Member, Commissionerate of Inquiries, has conducted Inquiry and submitted Report with his findings has stated that on perusal of the connected documents available with this form including Exhibits marked, depositions of PWs, written arguments filed by PO as well as Defense Counsel for CO, the forum observed that the Private Driver, Sri Mannam Sukumar was in outside in the entire search in the house of accused in Crime No.01/RCT/OGL/15 as deposed by PW-1 and PW-4. PW-2, who was the de-facto complainant and crucial witness in the case, has categorically admitted in his deposition that on the continuous persuasion/pressure given by CO, he gave a complaint against Private Driver, Sri Mannam Sukumar. PW-5 has deposed that Sri M.Sukumar obtained money at the outside of ACB office and later he came to know that charge sheet was filed against him in Cr.NO.2/RCO/ACB-OGL/15 and now the case is in pending trail in the Hon'ble Court. The forum has also observed that neither PW-4 nor PW-5, both are IOs, has deposed any lackadaisical on the part of CO in guiding and giving assistance to them during their examination. Therefore, on the evidence adduced during the course of inquiry and in view of the lack of sufficient evidence to prove the charge, the forum opines that the Charge-I framed against CO cannot be held as proved. Therefore, the Charge-I framed against the CO is held as not proved.

5. In the reference 7th read above, the Disciplinary Authority i.e. the Director General of Police, AP, Mangalagiri has proposed to drop further action against Sri RVSN Murthy, (f), DSP,ACB, now ACP, Dwaraka Sub-Division, Visakhapatnam City, as the charges are not proved in the OE against him.

6. Government after careful examination, hereby drop further action against Sri RVSN Murthy, (f), DSP,ACB, now ACP, Dwaraka Sub-Division, Visakhapatnam City, since the charge held as not proved in the inquiry.

7. The Director General of Police, AP, Mangalagiri shall take necessary action accordingly.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

HARISH KUMAR GUPTA,
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

To
The Director General of Police, AP, Mangalagiri.
Sri RVSN Murthy, (f), DSP,ACB, now ACP, Dwaraka Sub-Division,
Visakhapatnam City t**hrough** Director General of Police, AP, Mangalagiri.
Copy to:
The Director General, ACB, Vijayawada.
The Commissioner, APVC, AP Secretariat, Velagapudi.
SF/SC.

//FORWARDED :: BY ORDER//

K. Padmavathi
SECTION OFFICER(SC)